COFRET2020 Paper Review Form

This form is intended for full paper review.

So, *first*, simply check the boxes that best express your opinion of the manuscript.

Manuscript type	
000	Research Paper Case study Review/Overview Paper
Abstract Does it contain the essential information of the article? Is it complete? Is it suitable for inclusion by itself in an abstracting service?	
	Poor Marginal Acceptable Good Outstanding ginality s the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication? Is the work relevant and
nove	Poor Marginal Acceptable Good Outstanding
Relationship to literature Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?	
00000	Poor Marginal Acceptable Good Outstanding


the field? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc? Diagrams, figures, tables and captions: Are they essential and clear? Text and mathematics: Are they brief but still clear? If you recommend shortening, please suggest what should be omitted. Are the results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper? Are the conclusions summarizing what has been learned and why it is interesting and useful?		
We	Poor Marginal Acceptable Good Outstanding Ilish/French usage all should be aware that the standard of English/French is very important for an accurate assessment of the nee presented in an international scientific article.	
00000	Poor Marginal Acceptable Good Outstanding	
Are you aware of prior publication of this work? or Have significant parts of the manuscript already been published?		
0	Yes	
No Is the manuscript free of commercialism?		
0	Yes	
0	No	

Organization of manuscript & Quality of Communication & Clarity of results/tables/illustrations

Does the paper clearly express its case/methodology, measured against the technical and scientific language of

<u>Secondly</u>, please, suggest whatever modifications you think are necessary to improve the quality of the manuscript; if you feel it's the case, please, develop your choices from above.

Please, suggest (mandatory field):



<u>Finally</u>, what is your recommendation for publication of the manuscript with IOP Publishing Ltd UK volume (http://conferenceseries.iop.org/) – indexed in Thomson Reuters, Web of Science and Scopus (mandatory field)

- Accept
- Minor_revision
- Major_revision
- Reject